

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,197 | 03/24/2004 | Warren P. Williamson IV | LPSL-04A 4574 | |
| 26875 | 7590 01/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP | | | JOHNSON, STEPHEN | |
| 2700 CAREV 441 VINE ST | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | CINCINNATI, OH 45202 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | gh. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Commence | 10/808,197 | WILLIAMSON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAIL INC DATE - SAL'- | Stephen M. Johnson | 3641 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | in parto dudyio, 1000 o.b. 11, 1 | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,8,9,12-17,19-21,29 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,10,11,18,22-26,28,31,33-36 a 7) Claim(s) 3,7,27,32,37-40 and 47-49 is/are objection solutions. 8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or | ond 30 is/are withdrawn from cond 41-46 is/are rejected. Exected to. | ensideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11). | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat inity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/2004. | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species N (figs. 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31) in the reply filed on 11/10/2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 5, 8-9, 12-17, 19-21, and 29-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected species. Claims 29-30 contain claim limitations directed to "a binary firearm level indicating signal" and "binary visual indicators". Such features are not present in the elected species.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 10-11, 18, 22-28, and 31-49 read on the elected species and an action on these claims follows.

2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22, line 17, the phrase "the central vision primary circle" lacks an antecedent. In claim 22, line 18, the phrase "the central vision" lacks an antecedent.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2, 6, 10-11, 18, 24-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obert et al..

Obert et al. disclose a tilt indicator comprising :

a) an eyepiece;

1, 2, 3

b) a firearm;

see fig. 1

Application/Control Number: 10/808,197 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

c) a targeting display; 10, 11

d) a firearm level indicating system; and 6, 7

e) an outer perimeter. see fig. 2

5. Claims 31, 33, 35-36, and 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parks.

Parks discloses a tilt indicator comprising:

a) an eyepiece including ocular housing; 11, 43

b) a firearm; see fig. 1, 2

c) at least one signal indicator; 103, 105

d) tilt sensing circuitry; 79, 81, 83

e) a controller; 91, 93, 95

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks in view of Duerst.

Parks applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a signal indicator that is an LED. Duerst teaches a signal indicator that is an LED (28, 29). Applicant is substituting one illuminating signal indicator for another in an analogous art setting. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of

Art Unit: 3641

Duerst to the Parks tilt indicator and have a tilt indicator with a different type of illuminating signal indicator.

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obert et al. in view of Parks.

Obert et al. apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a firearm tilt indicator that consists of illuminating elements. Parks teaches a firearm tilt indicator that consists of illuminating elements (103, 105). Applicant is substituting one type of firearm tilt indicator for another in an analogous art setting as explicitly encouraged by the secondary reference (see col. 2, lines 42-45 of Parks). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Parks to the Obert et al. tilt indicator and have a tilt indicator of a different type.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obert et al. in view of Parks as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Duerst.

Obert et al. and Parks apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a signal indicator that is an LED. Duerst teaches a signal indicator that is an LED (28, 29). Applicant is substituting one illuminating signal indicator for another in an analogous art setting. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Duerst to the Obert et al. in view of Parks tilt indicator and have a tilt indicator with a different type of illuminating signal indicator.

10. Claim 22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3641

11. Claims 3, 7, 27, 32, 37-40, and 47-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. London, Barlow, and Gaber disclose other state of the art indicators.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

Slyde a bolum

STEPHEN M. JOHNSCI.

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ January 14, 2005